# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Charles Mendes	3 05 10912 DPW
Plaintiff	) CEALIFY #
	) Civil Action OUNT 8 NA
V.	No. SUMMONS ISSUED 2
Osprey Ship Management, Inc. and	) LOCAL RULE 4.1
United States of America,	) WAVER FORM
Defendants	) MCF ISSUED
	DATE YELK

#### PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above-entitled matter and for his complaint states: TIA

# **PARTIES**

- 1. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, is a resident of 4001 North Main Street, Fall River, MA 02720.
- 2. The Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., is a corporation, duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland with a principal place of business at 6550 Rock Spring Drive, Suite 300, Bethesda, MD 20817.

#### GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., was doing business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 4. On or about 2003, the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., was doing business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
  - 5. On or about June 25, 2003, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, was employed by the

Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc..

- 6. On or about June 25, 2003, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, was employed by the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., as a seaman, and a member of the crew of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 7. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., owned the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 8. The Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., chartered the USNS 1ST LT.

  HARRY L. MARTIN from some other person or entity such that on or about June 25, 2003 the

  Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc. was the owner pro hac vice of the USNS 1ST LT.

  HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 9. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., operated the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 10. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., or the Defendant's agents, servants, and/or employees, controlled the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 11. On or about June 25, 2003, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, was employed by the Defendant, United States of America.
- 12. On or about June 25, 2003, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, was employed by the Defendant, United States of America, as a seaman, and a member of the crew of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 13. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, United States of America, owned the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.

- 14. The Defendant, United States of America, chartered the USNS 1ST LT, HARRY L. MARTIN from some other person or entity such that on or about June 25, 2003 the Defendant, United States of America was the owner pro hac vice of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 15. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, United States America, operated the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 16. On or about June 25, 2003, the Defendant, United States America or the Defendant's agents, servants, and/or employees, controlled the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- During the course of the litigation, upon information and belief, the USNS 1<sup>ST</sup> LT. 17. HARRY L. MARTIN will not be in the territorial waters of the United States.
- 18. On or about June 25, 2003, the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN was in navigable waters.
- 19. On or about June 25, 2003, while in the in the performance of his duties in the service of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, sustained personal injuries.
- 20. Prior to and at the time he sustained the above-mentioned personal injuries, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, was exercising due care.

#### JURISDICTION

- 21. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Merchant Marine Act of 1920, commonly called the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. §688 et. seg.
  - 22. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§1331, 28 U.S.C. §1332 and 28 U.S.C. §1333, the Suits in Admiralty Act, 46 U.S.C. §741 et seq. and the Public Vessels Act, 46 U.S.C. §781 et seq.

#### COUNT I

#### Charles Mendes v. Osprey Ship Management, Inc.

#### (JONES ACT NEGLIGENCE)

- 23. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 24. The personal injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, were not caused by any fault on his part but were caused by the negligence of the Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees.
- 25. As a result of said injuries, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has suffered pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, incurred medical expenses, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.
- 26. This cause of action is brought under the Merchant Marine Act of 1920. commonly called the Jones Act.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00), together with interests and costs.

#### **COUNT II**

# Charles Mendes v. Osprey Ship Management, Inc.

## (GENERAL MARITIME LAW - UNSEAWORTHINESS)

- 27. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 28. The personal injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, were due to no fault of his, but were caused by the Unseaworthiness of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 29. As a result of said injuries, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes has, suffered pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, incurred medical expenses, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.
- 30. This cause of action is brought under the General Maritime Law for Unseaworthiness and is for the same cause of action as Count I.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00), together with interests and costs.

#### COUNT III

# Charles Mendes v. Osprey Ship Management, Inc.

#### (GENERAL MARITIME LAW - MAINTENANCE and CURE)

- 31. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates all of the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
  - As a result of the personal injuries described in paragraph 19 above, the Plaintiff, 32.

Charles Mendes, has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for his maintenance and cure.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., in the amount of ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$100,000.00) for maintenance and cure, together with costs and interest.

#### **COUNT IV**

#### Charles Mendes vs. Osprey Ship Management, Inc.

# (GENERAL MARITIME LAW/JONES ACT - INTENTIONAL/NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE and CURE)

- 33. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 34. As a result of the personal injuries described in paragraph 19 above, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for his maintenance and cure.
- 35. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has made demand upon the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., for the provision of maintenance and cure.
- 36. The Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., has negligently, willfully, arbitrarily, and/or unreasonably failed to provide the Plaintiff with maintenance and cure in a timely and adequate manner.
- As a result of the Defendant's failure to provide the Plaintiff maintenance and 37. cure, the Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages, including without limitation, pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, medical

and hospital expenses, attorneys fees, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, Osprey Ship Management, Inc., in the amount of TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS. (\$200,000.00), as compensatory damages for failure to pay maintenance and cure, together with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys fees.

#### **COUNT V**

# Charles Mendes v. United States of America

# (JONES ACT NEGLIGENCE)

- 38. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 39. The personal injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, were not caused by any fault on his part but were caused by the negligence of the Defendant, their agents, servants and/or employees.
- 40. As a result of said injuries, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has suffered pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, incurred medical expenses, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.
- 41. This cause of action is brought under the Merchant Marine Act of 1920. commonly called the Jones Act.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant. United States of America, in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00), together with interest and costs.

### COUNT VI

#### Charles Mendes v. United States of America

#### (GENERAL MARITIME LAW - UNSEAWORTHINESS)

- The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 42. through 22 above.
- The personal injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, were due to no 43. fault of his, but were caused by the Unseaworthiness of the USNS 1ST LT. HARRY L. MARTIN.
- 44. As a result of said injuries, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes has suffered pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, incurred medical expenses, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.
- 45. This cause of action is brought under the General Maritime Law for Unseaworthiness and is for the same cause of action as Count V.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, United States of America, in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00), together with interest and costs.

#### COUNT VII

#### Charles Mendes v. United States of America

#### (GENERAL MARITIME LAW - MAINTENANCE and CURE)

- 46. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates all of the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
  - 47. As a result of the personal injuries described in paragraph 19 above, the Plaintiff,

Charles Mendes, has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for his maintenance and cure.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, United States of America, in the amount of ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$100,000.00), for maintenance and cure, together with costs and interest.

# COUNT VIII

#### Charles Mendes v. United States of America

# (GENERAL MARITIME LAW/JONES ACT - INTENTIONAL/NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE and CURE)

- 48. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, reiterates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 49. As a result of the personal injuries described in paragraph 19 above, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for his maintenance and cure.
- 50. The Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, has made demand upon the Defendant for the provision of maintenance and cure.
- 51. The Defendant have negligently, willfully, arbitrarily, and/or unreasonably failed to provide the Plaintiff with maintenance and cure in a timely and adequate manner.
- 52. As a result of the Defendant's failure to provide the Plaintiff maintenance and cure, the Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages, including without limitation, pain of body and anguish of mind, lost time from his usual work and pursuits, medical and hospital expenses, attorneys fees, and has sustained and will sustain other damages as will be shown at trial.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, demands judgment against the Defendant, United States of America, in the amount of TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$200,000.00), as compensatory damages for failure to pay maintenance and cure, together with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys fees.

# PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES RAISED IN COUNTS I - VIII.

Respectfully submitted for the the Plaintiff, Charles Mendes, by his attorney,

Carolyn M. Latti, Esq. BBO #567394

Latti & Anderson LLP 30-31 Union Wharf Boston, MA 02109 (617) 523-1000

Dated: 5 2-05

JS44 (Rev. 3/99)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# Case 1:05-cv-10912-DPW Document 1-2 Filed 05/03/2005 Page 1 of 2 **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS					FENDANTS	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
Charles Mendes				Osprey Ship Management, Inc., and United States of America					
							7 2:12		
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Bristol				COL	UNTY OF RESIDENCE O	F FIRST LISTED DEFENDA	- NT		
					COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT				
				(IN ) 	U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ( CASES, USE THE LO INVOLVED	ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND COL DCATION OF THE TRACT (	NDEMNATION OF LAND **		
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAI _Carolyn M. Latti, BBO #5673 Boston, MA 02109 (617) 523	94, Latti & Anderson	LLP, 30-31 Union W		A	TORNING (IF KNOWN)	0912	<b>DPW</b>		
		<u> </u>							
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (PLACE	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. C	ITIZ or Div	ZENSHIP OF PRII versity Cases Only)	NCIPAL PARTIES  FOR PLAINTIFF AND C	(PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
□ 1 U.S. Government      Plaintiff	3 Federal Ques (U.S. Govern	stion ment Not a Party)	C.M	E TL	PTF DEF	Incomparated or Dringing	PTF DEF Place □ 4 ⊠ 4		
2 U.S. Government	_ '		Citizen of This State			Incorporated or Principal Place ☐ 4 ☑ 4 of Business in This State Incorporated and Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5			
Defendant	(Indicate Citi Parties IN	izenship of Item III)			ubject of a 3 3	Of Business in Anothe Foreign Nation			
			Fore		Country				
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (PLACE AN x I	N ONE BOX ON	LY)		FORFEITURE/	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT					PENALTY	BANKOFICI	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY			☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158			
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	□362 Personal Injury : Med Malpractice			625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury     Product Liability			G30 Liquor Laws G640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.		
Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers.	☐ 368 Asbestos Injury Product Liabi	lity		G50 Airline Regs. G60 Occupational Safety/Health	B20 Copyrights B30 Patent B40 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	Liability  ☑ 340 Marine  ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud			☐ 690 Other		Corrupt Organizations  810 Selective Service		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veterani is Benefits	Liability  350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal			LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 160 Stockholders. Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage  385 Property Damage				□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	12 USC 3410  891 Agricultural Acts		
■ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability			☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	■ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ■ 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE	ETITIONS		☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	■ 894 Energy Allocation Act ■ 895 Freedom of Information A ■ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forecelosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act		Under Equal Access to Jus		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	HABEAS CORPUS:			740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	State Statutes  ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
□ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	[]535 Death Penalty []540 Mandamus & Othe	er,		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)			
		[]550 Civil Rights []555 Prison Condition			☐791 Empl. Ref. Inc. Security Act	□ 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609			
V. ORIGIN		(PLACE A	AN x IN C	⊃NE	BOX ONLY) Transferred from	m 🗂	Appeal to District 7 Judge from		
☐ 1 Origii Magistrate	nal 🗆 <b>2</b> F	Removed from	3 Reman	ided f		☐ 5 another district ☐			
Proceeding S		ppellate Court	Reopen		(Specify)	Litigation	Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION DIVERSITY.) 46 USC Sec 688 et. se	QIN (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL S' q., 28 USC, Sec 1331, 3	TATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU 28 USC, Sec. 1332, 2	JARE FILING 8 USC, S	iec 13	WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAU 333, 46 USC Sec 741 et sec	SE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL ST. 7, 46 USC, Sec 781 et. seq.	ATUTES UNLESS		
VII. REQUESTED IN complaint:	CHECK IF THIS IS A C	CLASS ACTION	DEI	MA	ND \$1,600,000.00_	Check YE	S only if demanded in		
COMPLAINT	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23					JURY DEMAND:	<b>⊠YES</b> NO		
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See Instru	ctions)							
II AIT	<b></b>	JUDGE			DOØKET YL	JMBER			
DATE 9.2-15		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORI	NEY	OF RECORD	LOUMSTIT,	11081		

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ı.	Title of c	ase (nam	e of first party on ea	ach side only)	MENDES, CHARLES	8 V. OSF	REY SHIP	MANAG	EMBNT, IN	C., ET A	<u>L</u>
2.	Category		the case belongs b	pased upon the	numbered nature of s	uit code	e listed on	the civil	cover shee	t. (See	local
	1410 40.1	,	400 440 470 D 00	. DECADDI 500	OF NATURE OF SUI	-				1:21	
		l.	160, 410, 470, R.23	, REGARDLESS	OF NATURE OF SUI	1.					
	_	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*			*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases					
	_x_	III.	315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891	345, 350, 355, 3	30, 240, 245, 290, 310 60, 362, 365, 370, 371	,			'		
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,	5	1	09		n -			
	_	V.	150, 152, 153.					4	UB	I a	
3.	Title and district p	I number, please inc	if any, of related ca licate the title and n	ses. (See local umber of the fir	rule 40.1(g)). If more st filed case in this co	than or ourt. N	ne prior re ONE	lated cas	e has been	filed in t	this
4.	Has a pr	ior action	between the same	parties and bas	ed on the same claim	ever be	en filed ir	this cou			
						YES		NO	X□		
5.	Does the		nt in this case ques	tion the constitu	utionality of an act of	congre	ss affectin	g the pu	blic interest	? (See	28
	If so, is	the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	t or employee o	f the U.S. a party?	YES		NO	Х□		
	55, 15	.,,,				YES		NO	$\square X$		
6.	Is this c	ase requi	red to be heard and	determined by	a district court of thre	e judge YES	s pursuar	nt to title NO	28 USC §22 <b>X</b>	84?	
7.	Do <u>all</u> of Massaci	f the parti husetts ("	es in this action, ex governmental agen	cluding govern cies"), residing	mental agencies of th in Massachusetts re	e united side in t	d states an	nd the Co division?	ommonweal - (See Loca	th of al Rule 4	I0.1(d)).
						YES		NO	ХU		
		Α.	If yes, in which divis	sion do <u>all</u> of the	non-governmental part	ies resid	le?				
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division		
		B.	If no, in which divis in Massachusetts re		ty of the plaintiffs or the	only pa	ırties, exclı	ıding gov	ernmental aç	gencies,	residing
			Eastern Division	X□	Central Division			West	ern Division		
8.	If filing a	Notice of	Removal - are there:	any motions pen	ding in the state court r	equiring	the attenti	on of this	Court? (If y	es, subn	nit a
	separate	sneet lae	ntifying the motions)			YES		NO	г		
(P	LEASE TY	/PE OR P	RINT)			IEO		INO	<b>L</b> amel		
дΤ	TORNEY	'S NAME	CAROLYN M. LAT	TI, LATTI & AND	ERSON LLP						
			30-31 UNION WHA								
	_		(617) 523-1000								

(Coversheetlocal[1].wpd - 10/17/02)